RECEIVED

FEB 0 1 2000

2	MS.	ANNE	KAWATERS:	My	name	is	Anne

- 3 Kawaters, K-a-w-a-t-e-r-s. I am a resident of
- 4 Chicago Heights for 31 years, in the south
- 5 suburbs, and I'm chair of the Sauk-Calumet Group
- 6 of the Sierra Club. We have 1400 plus members
- 7 in the Sierra Club in the south suburbs through
- 8 which most of this nuclear fuel would travel.
- 9 Speaking as a representative of the
- 10 Sierra club, I am endorsing and backing Jack's
- 11 statement, as does my group but speaking as a
- 12 private citizen, I would like to say that there
- 13 are a couple of major points that should be
- 14 stressed.
- 15 Recently our local Citizens Advisory
- 16 Panel to the chemical industry was denied risk
- 17 management plan information on worst case
- 18 scenario basis due to FBI concerns for terrorist
- 19 activities. This covered fixed chemical plants
- 20 of different sizes and relative risks. No
- 21 thought was considered to the shipment of
- 22 materials and no cumulative risk concerned due
- 23 to the number of plants in the area. With all
- 24 the variables to consider in the shipment of

EIS001599

		_	indeteal waste, no such concern for certoffsm has
1 cont.		2	been expressed in the presentation by the DOE.
		3	No. 2. I have always considered the
2		4	response of the nuclear industry to risk
	,	5	management to be "emotional" when the cap for
		6	total liability was put in place. Their
		7	emotional response says, we can't handle the
		8	risk versus benefit analysis beyond this point,
		9	and we don't want to think about it. The
	•	10	problem is, the insurance cap for power reactors
		11	has been standing for decades without a
		12	Government Accounting Office review being made
		13	public, or, to my knowledge, not made at all.
		14	Inflation and aging reactors should be of
	¥	15	actuarial concern. Before we allow this runaway
		16	fait accompli, let's stop and reevaluate,
		17	request GAO audit, and make public the liability
	•	18	insurance considerations and who will pay for
		19	them.
		20	Risk management is essentially the
		21	scales that we have been adding to incrementally
		22	to try to manage balance, but this issue is an
		23	enormous weight added to the negative side of
		24	the scales for all Americans. Thank you.